

Release notes for ENDF/B Development n-061\_Pm\_148m1  
evaluation

**ENDF**  
**B-VII**.dev

April 26, 2017

- **psyche** Warnings:

1. Strength function in URR not in agreement with PSYCHE's expectations  
*FILE 2 / SECTION 151 / ISOTOPE MASS = 148. L = 0 / STRENGTH FUNCTION IS 6.60149E-04 / STRENGTH FUNCTION 6.60149E-04 / LIES OUTSIDE LIMITS 1.00000E-04 TO 6.00000E-04 (0): URR str. ftn.*

```
FILE 2
SECTION 151
ISOTOPE MASS = 148. L = 0
STRENGTH FUNCTION IS 6.60149E-04
STRENGTH FUNCTION 6.60149E-04
... [1 more lines]
```

- **recent** Warnings:

1. Statistical weight of certain L values were incorrect  
*0: RRR goof (a)*

```
Calculate Cross Sections from Resonance Parameters (RECENT 2015-1)
=====
Retrieval Criteria----- MAT
File 2 Minimum Cross Section- 1.0000E-10 (Standard Option)
Reactions with No Background- Output (Resonance Contribution)
... [130 more lines]
```

- **fudge-4.0** Warnings:

1. Missing a channel with a particular angular momenta combination  
*resonances / resolved (Error # 1): missingResonanceChannel*

```
WARNING: Missing a channel with angular momenta combination L = 0, J = 4.5 and S = 4.5 for "capture"
WARNING: Missing a channel with angular momenta combination L = 0, J = 6.5 and S = 6.5 for "capture"
```

2. Potential scattering hasn't converted, you need more L's!  
*resonances / resolved (Error # 2): potentialScatteringNotConverged*

```
WARNING: Potential scattering hasn't converged by L=0 at E=1.0 eV, xs[0]/xs[0]=100.0% > 0.1%
```

- **fudge-4.0** Errors:

1. The spin statistical weights are off, indicating missing channels  
*resonances / resolved / MultiLevel\_BreitWigner (Error # 0): badSpinStatisticalWeights*

```
WARNING: The spin statistical weights for L=0 sums to 0.461538461538, but should sum to 1.0. You have too few channels
```

2. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*reaction label 4: n[multiplicity:'2'] + Pm147 (Error # 0): Q mismatch*

```
WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: -2881639.681289673 eV vs -5.7555e6 eV!
```

3. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*reaction label 5: n[multiplicity:'3'] + Pm146 (Error # 0): Q mismatch*

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: -10541013.18344116 eV vs -1.3421e7 eV!

4. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*reaction label 6:  $n + H1 + Nd147$  (Error # 0): Q mismatch*

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: -2995293.440353394 eV vs -5.8692e6 eV!

5. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*reaction label 7:  $Pm149 + \gamma$  (Error # 0): Q mismatch*

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: 10284303.4861145 eV vs 7.408e6 eV!

6. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*reaction label 8:  $n + He4 + Pr144$  (Error # 0): Q mismatch*

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: 4472470.040969849 eV vs 1.5992e6 eV!

7. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*reaction label 9:  $H1 + Nd148\_s$  (Error # 0): Q mismatch*

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: 4337491.728424072 eV vs 1.4642e6 eV!

8. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*reaction label 10:  $H2 + Nd147\_s$  (Error # 0): Q mismatch*

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: -770727.3394165039 eV vs -3.6447e6 eV!

9. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*reaction label 11:  $H3 + Nd146\_s$  (Error # 0): Q mismatch*

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: 194302.5239257812 eV vs -2.6792e6 eV!

10. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*reaction label 12:  $He4 + Pr145\_s$  (Error # 0): Q mismatch*

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: 11419981.81997681 eV vs 8.546e6 eV!

• njoy2012 Warnings:

1. Evaluation has no unresolved resonance parameters given  
*unresr...calculation of unresolved resonance cross sections (0): No URR*

---message from unresr---mat 6153 has no unresolved parameters  
copy as is to nout

2. Evaluation has no unresolved resonance parameters given  
*purr...probabalistic unresolved calculation (0): No URR*

---message from purr---mat 6153 has no unresolved parameters  
copy as is to nout

3. With the advent of the ENDF-6 format, it is possible to make evaluations that fully describe all the products of a nuclear reaction. Some carry-over evaluations from earlier ENDF/B versions also have this capability, but many do not. This message is intended to goad evaluators to improve things!  
*groupr...compute self-shielded group-averaged cross-sections (0): GROUPR/conver (0)*

```

---message from conver---cannot do complete particle production for mt= 16
                                only mf4/mf5 provided

```

4. With the advent of the ENDF-6 format, it is possible to make evaluations that fully describe all the products of a nuclear reaction. Some carry-over evaluations from earlier ENDF/B versions also have this capability, but many do not. This message is intended to goad evaluators to improve things!  
*group...compute self-shielded group-averaged cross-sections (1): GROUPR/conver (0)*

```

---message from conver---cannot do complete particle production for mt= 17
                                only mf4/mf5 provided

```

5. With the advent of the ENDF-6 format, it is possible to make evaluations that fully describe all the products of a nuclear reaction. Some carry-over evaluations from earlier ENDF/B versions also have this capability, but many do not. This message is intended to goad evaluators to improve things!  
*group...compute self-shielded group-averaged cross-sections (2): GROUPR/conver (0)*

```

---message from conver---cannot do complete particle production for mt= 22
                                only mf4/mf5 provided

```

6. With the advent of the ENDF-6 format, it is possible to make evaluations that fully describe all the products of a nuclear reaction. Some carry-over evaluations from earlier ENDF/B versions also have this capability, but many do not. This message is intended to goad evaluators to improve things!  
*group...compute self-shielded group-averaged cross-sections (3): GROUPR/conver (0)*

```

---message from conver---cannot do complete particle production for mt= 28
                                only mf4/mf5 provided

```

7. With the advent of the ENDF-6 format, it is possible to make evaluations that fully describe all the products of a nuclear reaction. Some carry-over evaluations from earlier ENDF/B versions also have this capability, but many do not. This message is intended to goad evaluators to improve things!  
*group...compute self-shielded group-averaged cross-sections (4): GROUPR/conver (0)*

```

---message from conver---cannot do complete particle production for mt= 91
                                only mf4/mf5 provided

```

• **acelst** Warnings:

1. The incident energy grid is not monotonic for this angular distribution  
*0: Bad Ang. Dist.*

```

ACELST WARNING - Processing Ang.Dist.MT          2
                  E-grid non-monotonic  1.000000000E-06 1.000000000E-06

```